

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/551,679 | 09/29/2005 | Anteo Pelliconi | MI 6095 (US) | 5524 | |
| 34872 Basell USA In | 7590 01/29/200 | EXAMINER | | | |
| Delaware Cor | porate Center II | NUTTER, NATHAN M | | | |
| 2 Righter Park Wilmington, I | way, Suite #300 E 19803 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1796 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/29/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) PELLICONI, ANTEO 10/551,679 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Nathan M. Nutter 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

| Any reply received by the Office | | after the mailing | date of this communication, | , even if timely filed | , may reduce ar |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| earned patent term adjustment. | See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |

| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Store of tem may be a valuable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fined SK (5) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Which is the communication of the co |
|---|--|
| Status | |
| 2a)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 November 2008. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Dispositi | on of Claims |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |
| Applicati | on Papers |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 |
| a)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |
| | |
| | |

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___ 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/551,679 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed 24 November 2008, the following is placed in effect

The rejection of claims 1-7 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,586,531 (Washiyama et al), is hereby expressly withdrawn in view of the acceptable Terminal Disclaimer filed with the Response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Washiyama et al (US 6,586,531).

The applied reference has a common assignee and inventorwith the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention

Application/Control Number: 10/551.679

Art Unit: 1796

disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

The intended use of a masterbatch is with other constituents, including other polymers. The reference shows the masterbatch using crystalline polypropylene of "MFR^I of from 0.5 to 10 g/10 min," present in an amount that embraces the claimed range at 25% to 75%, with a second polymer faction having MFR^{II} embracing that recited herein at 15 to 100 g/10 min, and present in an amount that embraces the claimed range at 25% to 75%. Note the Abstract. The composition is employed to make molded articles. Note column 1 (lines 22 et seq.). Further, note column 6 (lines 26-42), column 7 (line 12) to column 8 (line 3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 24 November 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the rejection of claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Washiyama et al (US 6,586,531), the ratio of MFR^{II}/MRF^I is anticipatory at 30 to 60. Applicant is reminded that a reference is taken for the entirety of its teachings and not for isolated examples or passages. While applicant points to preferred range for the ratio, the reference teaches the contemplated range. It is noted that the MFR^{II} values calculated from Washiyama et al embrace that range recited herein, including 15 to 100 g/10 min..

Application/Control Number: 10/551,679

Art Unit: 1796

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,679 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nathan M. Nutter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

nmn